Knowledge Web DELIVERABLE REVIEW

Part I - Comments List

month deliverable due M36							
FT, UIBK, USFD, UniLiv							

reviewer	Lyndon Nixon		
Sent for review	18.1.07	Sent back to	7.2.07
(date)		authors (date)	

	comment	(C)ompulsory (H)ighly advisable (O)ptional ¹
		advisable
		(O)ptional ¹
1		
2		
3		
4		

authors for the final version (optional)?

¹ Do the authors have to address the comment in order to make the deliverable final (compulsory)? Is it advisable but not compulsory to address the comment to make the deliverable final (Advisable)? Is it a minor comment that is optional to be addressed by the

Knowledge Web DELIVERABLE REVIEW

Part II – Summary

overall marking	VG (very good) / G (good) / S (generally	
	satisfactory / P (poor)	

General comments (optional)

How do you propose to solve the problem of low participation in the process, from both the research and industry side, given that this is clearly an important precondition of a successful technology roadmap?

How do you propose to widely disseminate the results to research and industry, so that the work done will have a real impact in both sectors?

e.g. I think this work can be linked to more clearly from the O2I portal which will also be strongly promoted to industry this year, and be pushed at events where research and industry is present such as ESTC 2007 and the Kweb plenary. FOMI 2007 (December?) may be a good opportunity to gather participants before the end of the network and achieve conclusions to all activities. The publication of the technology roadmap and its distribution e.g. at a possible ESTC 2008 should also be a goal.

${\bf Knowledge\ Web\ DELIVERABLE\ REVIEW}$

Part III - Follow-up Actions

comment	action taken
1	
2	
3	
4	