P2P semantics vs Integration (i.e., classical) semantics

Enrico Franconi

Student = Enrolled ∧ PaysOnTime

1

2

Student Enrolled PaysOnTime

RegularStudent = Enrolled ∧ PaysOnTime

Person ⊑ ∀name.String

1

2

Person name String

NamedObject = ∀name.String

- P2P semantics in other fields proved to
  - lower the data/query/mapping complexity (if mappings are complex)
  - have a distributed local inference procedure (modularisable)
- Integration semantics is not modularisable, but can express simple mappings for free
- No published results on P2P semantics with even the smallest DL (e.g., $\mathcal{FL}^-$)
- Extensive testing will be needed to prove the practical feasibility