

Knowledge Web DELIVERABLE REVIEW

Part I - Comments List

deliverable name	Success Stories and Best Practices	deliverable number	1.4.2 v3
------------------	------------------------------------	--------------------	-----------------

month deliverable due	48
-----------------------	-----------

lead participant	University of Aberdeen	Other participants	FT, USFD, INRIA, UniTn
Responsible person	Jeff Z Pan		

reviewer	Lyndon Nixon		
Sent for review (date)	15.1.08	Sent back to authors (date)	21.1.08

	comment	(C)ompulsory (H)ighly advisable (O)ptional ¹
1	Can you qualify the statement “During the conference it emerged that..:” Is this a subjective opinion? Can I find that out for myself? Provide a source.	H (done)
2	“(2.10x gain)” – what does it mean? 2 to 10x gain, 2.1x gain or something else? Please correct/explain	C (done)
3	What is with STC 2007? Why is this not the conference example used for a deliverable written in 2007? If possible, please update the Introduction	H
4	What about ESTC? As a Knowledge Web supported event why is it not mentioned? Could ESTC 2007 not give also some insight into Semantic Web usage, particularly the European dimension? Please at least mention ESTC 2007. You can also reference D1.1.5v3 for this, which has a description of ESTC 2007.	C (done)
5	Why is Figure 0 not Figure 1? Zero is not generally used.	H (done)
6	Again, in Figure 0 why do we deal with 2006 here? This was already done in D1.4.2v2 – where is the update for 2007? Maybe at least you could state if there has been any movement towards adoption seen in 2007.	H
7	p. 3 last paragraph “ontology practice” is repeated twice	C (done)
8	p. 4 last line “work done in 2006” why is this not 2007?	C (done)
9	Section 1.2 : It would be useful to emphasize more how this work has evolved since versions 1 and 2 of the deliverable. What is different now by the completion of version 3 from when you started? What was the result of experiences within	H (done)

¹ Do the authors have to address the comment in order to make the deliverable final (compulsory)? Is it advisable but not compulsory to address the comment to make the deliverable final (Advisable)? Is it a minor comment that is optional to be addressed by the authors for the final version (optional)?

Knowledge Web DELIVERABLE REVIEW

	Knowledge Web or activities carried out by Knowledge Web?	
10	Section 2.1 How many respondees were there? Who were they? (researchers, industry people)	C (done)
11	Chapter 2 lacks a conclusion. What was the value of the results presented? How could they be used? What can be the impact of this experience on future Semantic Web research and outreach?	H
12	Chapter 3 lacks a conclusion. Given a choice of solutions, are they interoperable? Presumably not, then should a solution be enforced? What will be done now with the collected practices? How can it be ensured that Semantic Web developers will be aware of them and use them?	H
13	Chapter 4 is effective in linking success stories to best practices? How were these links built? Researchers in common? Contact between researchers? This could form a conclusion on how more success stories could be enabled through transfer of best practises.	C (done)
14	“A general framework to encourage the generation of best practices” This reads a little weak with respect to sustainability of this work. It would be good to emphasize more strongly both how the existing practices and stories could be disseminated (to research and industry respectively, I would imagine) and how you want to ensure further practices and stories (driving the technology to adoption, to bring the document back to the figure at the beginning).	H
15	Appendices A and B: please give a source at the beginning	C (done)

Knowledge Web DELIVERABLE REVIEW

Part II – Summary

overall marking	VG (very good) / G (good) / S (generally satisfactory) / P (poor)
-----------------	--

General comments (optional)

As a presentation of a list of best practises and success stories, the deliverable fulfils its title.

Knowledge Web DELIVERABLE REVIEW

Part III - Follow-up Actions

comment	action taken
1	
2	
3	
4	