

# D 2.3.5 v1 Integration of the Consensus Making Framework with the Versioning Environment

(internal version status)

## Anna V. Zhdanova (UIBK)

#### With contributions from Stefan Decker (NUIG), Carlos Enguix (NUIG), Robert Stevens (UoM), Max Völkel (UKARL) - alphabetically

#### Abstract.

EU-IST Network of Excellence (NoE) IST-2004-507482 KWEB Deliverable D2.3.5 v1.1 internal (WP2.3)

Document Identifier:	KWEB/2004/D2.3.5/v1.1 (internal)
Class Deliverable:	KWEB EU-IST-2004-507482
Version:	v1.1
Date:	October 11, 2005
State:	Final
Distribution:	Public

## **Knowledge Web Consobrtium**

This document is part of a research project funded by the IST Programme of the Commission of the European Communities as project number IST-2004-507482.

University of Innsbruck (UIBK) – Coordinator Institute of Computer Science, Technikerstrasse 13 A-6020 Innsbruck Austria Contact person: Dieter Fensel E-mail address: dieter.fensel@uibk.ac.at	École Polythechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL) Computer Science Department Swiss Federal Institute of Technology IN (Ecublens), CH-1015 Lausanne. Switzerland Contact person: Boi Faltings E-mail address: boi.faltings@epfl.ch
France Telecom (FT)  4 Rue du Clos Courtel  35512 Cesson Sévigné France. PO Box 91226 Contact person : Alain Leger E-mail address: alain.leger@rd.francetelecom.com	Freie Universität Berlin (FU Berlin) Takustrasse, 9 14195 Berlin Germany Contact person: Robert Tolksdorf E-mail address: tolk@inf.fu-berlin.de
Free University of Bozen-Bolzano (FUB) Piazza Domenicani 3 39100 Bolzano Italy Contact person: Enrico Franconi E-mail address: franconi@inf.unibz.it	Institut National de Recherche en Informatique et en Automatique (INRIA)  ZIRST – 655 avenue de l'Europe – Montbonnot Saint Martin  38334 Saint-Ismier France Contact person : Jérôme Euzenat E-mail address: Jerome.Euzenat@inrialpes.fr
Centre for Research and Technology Hellas / Informatics and Telematics Institute (ITI- CERTH)  1st km Thermi – Panorama road 57001 Thermi-Thessaloniki Greece. Po Box 361 Contact person: Michael G. Strintzis E-mail address: strintzi@iti.gr	Learning Lab Lower Saxony (L3S) Expo Plaza 1 30539 Hannover Germany Contact person: Wolfgang Nejdl E-mail address: nejdl@learninglab.de
National University of Ireland Galway (NUIG) National University of Ireland Science and Technology Building University Road Galway Ireland Contact person: Christoph Bussler E-mail address:	

## D 2.3.5 v1.1 internal Integration of the Consensus Making Framework with the Versioning Environment

E-mail address: asun@fi.upm.es	Germany	
	Contact person: Rudi Studer	
	E-mail address: studer@aifb.uni-karlsruhe.de	
University of Liverpool (UniLiv)	University of Manchester (UoM)	
Chadwick Building, Peach Street	Room 2.32. Kilburn Building, Department of	
L697ZF Liverpool	Computer Science, University of Manchester,	
United Kingdom	Oxford Road	
Contact person: Michael Wooldridge	Manchester, M13 9PL	
E-mail address: M.J.Wooldridge@csc.liv.ac.uk	United Kingdom	
	Contact person: Carole Goble	
	E-mail address: <u>carole@cs.man.ac.uk</u>	
University of Sheffield (USFD)	University of Trento (UniTn)	
Regent Court, 211 Portobello street	Via Sommarive 14	
S14DP Sheffield	38050 Trento	
United Kingdom	Italy	
Contact person: Hamish Cunningham	Contact person: Fausto Giunchiglia	
E-mail address: <u>obert@dcs.shef.ac.uk</u>	E-mail address: <u>obert@dit.unitn.it</u>	
Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam (VUA)	Vrije Universiteit Brussel (VUB)	
De Boelelaan 1081a	Pleinlaan 2, Building G10	
1081HV. Amsterdam	1050 Brussels	
The Netherlands	Belgium	
	Deigium	
Contact person: Frank van Harmelen	Contact person: Robert Meersman	

#### Work package participants

The following partners have taken an active part in the work leading to the elaboration of this document, even if they might not have directly contributed writing parts of this document:

- National University of Ireland Galway (NUIG)
- University of Innsbruck (UIBK)
- University of Karlsruhe (UKARL)
- University of Liverpool (UniLiv)
- Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam (VUA)
- Institut National de Recherche en Informatique et en Automatique (INRIA)
- Free University of Bozen-Bolzano (FUB)
- Centre for Research and Technology Hellas / Informatics and Telematics Institute (ITI-CERTH)
- University of Sheffield (USFD)

## Changes

Version	Date	Author	Changes
1	09-10-2005	Anna Zhdanova	Public internal version
1.1	11-10-2005	Anna Zhdanova	Update after QC comments

## **Executive Summary**

In this deliverable, advances in integration of consensus making framework (previously reported in KnowledgeWeb D2.3.2) with the versioning environment (previously reported in KnowedlgeWeb D2.3.1, D2.3.3v1) are outlined. The advances towards integration took place in identification of common requirements, use case consideration and further implementation. As this version of the deliverable is internal and is purposed to coordinate the work in WP 2.3, extensive documentation will be provided in the later, external version of this deliverable, D2.3.5v2.

#### **Contents**

Executive Summary	6
1 Introduction	8
2 Identification of Common Requirements	8
3 Cases Studies	9
3.1 KnowledgeWeb on the People's Portal	9
3.2 Gene Ontology	10
4 Implementation	10
5 Conclusion	10
Acknowledgements	11
References	11

#### 1 Introduction

The goal of the major activity to which this deliverable is related is the specification and implementation of a Semantic Web consensus making environment with a provision of dynamic and community/agents driven ontology construction, reaching agreement process support and ontology instantiation; dynamic ontology and ontology instance data alignment and aggregation; Semantic-based personalization, ontology views and targeted delivery of Semantic Web data and metadata; domain independent and domain dependent ontologies and ontology technologies widely applicable and appropriate for setting best practices on emerging Semantic Web. The Semantic Web consensus making environment is being applied to selected specific case studies such as expert environments, digital libraries and e-Tourism among B2B, B2C and C2C scenarios.

Integration of consensus making framework with the versioning environment is essential due to the dynamicity of consensus making processes. Here, we consider further development of the consensus making framework prototype and its application to use cases in an integrated fashion with the versioning environment.

In this document we refer to our approach to the problem, case studies considered and current implementation progress.

#### 2 Identification of Common Requirements

Work performed on the consensus making environment and related case studies can be found directly in the papers published by us on this topic in 2005 [3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8].

The People's portal [3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8] performs the consensus making part and SemVersion [2] performs the versioning part.

*People's portal* is an implementation of a human-Semantic Web interactive environment. The basic idea of the People's portal is to combine community web portal technology with collaborative ontology management in order to bring the Semantic Web to masses and overcome limitations of the existing community web portals.

SemVersion is an implementation of an RDF-centric versioning approach. It provides structural (purely triple based) and semantic (ontology language based, like RDFS, OWL and OBOL) versioning. It separates language-neutral features for data management from language-specific features like semantic diffs in design and implementation. This way SemVersion offers a common approach for already widely used RDF models and a wide range of ontology languages.

Integration or combination of these prototypes is strongly driven by needs of the real life case studies.

Generally, we considered and agreed upon:

- an ontology of basic changes from the consensus making and versioning points of view, comes from case study analysis [2]
- common software language (Java)
- common software basis (Jena)
- common ontology support language (RDF/S, OWL), with a possibility to consider different languages at later stages
- applying SemVersion in combination with the People's portal consensus making system.

#### **3 Cases Studies**

The integrated framework for is being applied to two case studies: "knowledgeweb on the people's portal" and the gene ontology.

The "knowledgeweb on the people's portal" case study runs on the software constructed in WP 2.3. The gene ontology case study is valuable for identification of requirements for consensus making and versioning integration and is being considered for application of developed software in the long run.

#### 3.1 KnowledgeWeb on the People's Portal

The consensus making environment was applied to the KnowledgeWeb consortium to produce a new social application for people working in an area of Semantic Web (application was launched on September 5, 2005). The name of this project is *KnowledgeWeb on the People's portal* and its aim is acquisition of ontological information about people involved in the area of Semantic Web for facilitation of joint research and social activities.

An address of "knowledgeweb on the people's portal" is: <a href="http://people.semanticweb.org">http://people.semanticweb.org</a>

#### Features include:

- community-driven ontology construction
- interfaces to identify and browse communities
- dynamic integration of data from KnowledgeWeb portal<sup>1</sup>
- easy registration of new members (support of "community friends")

The use case is planned to be actively developed further in the direction of user involvement increase and dynamics support.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> KnowledgeWeb portal: http:// knowledgeweb.semanticweb.org

#### 3.2 Gene Ontology

The gene ontology (GO) community<sup>2</sup> is where collaborative ontology construction is practiced a long time comparing to other communities. The GO community showed that involvement of multiple parties and high dynamicity is a must for a comprehensive ontology as a result [1]. Hourly changes in the gene ontology and provide strong needs for integrated consensus making and ontology versioning systems.

Our gene ontology case study is targeted at the following audiences:

- Developers of various community environments (for them to illustrate by example the influence of a community on ontology construction process and the corresponding tool support to make the environments benefit from its communities at the highest degree)
- Developers of tools supporting ontology versioning (to give an idea on which ontology change operations are especially useful and can be successfully captured and processed by the community)
- computer science community (for us to spot gaps in the market with the GO case study)

A document draft with the gene ontology case study elaboration was circulated over the KnowledgeWeb WP2.3 mailing list and is currently work in progress.

## 4 Implementation

Since January 2005, two new software prototypes were created:

- new version of the consensus making framework (People's portal) online code: <a href="http://homepage.deri.org:8080/community/pportal\_v0.2.zip">http://homepage.deri.org:8080/community/pportal\_v0.2.zip</a> online installation: <a href="http://people.semanticweb.org">http://people.semanticweb.org</a>
- versioning environment SemVersion (based on ontology of basic changes) online code: <a href="http://www.ontoware.org/projects/semversion">http://www.ontoware.org/projects/semversion</a>

These prototypes were driven to work together. Specifically, common basis for software integration provided include:

- both prototypes are conceptually based on ontology of basic changes
- both prototypes are written in Java, building on Jena
- both prototypes support primarily W3C standards, i.e. RDF/S and OWL

#### **5 Conclusion**

A brief outline of the D2.3.5 progress is provided here. We have achieved identification of use cases where an integrated consensus and versioning prototype is required and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> GO: http://www.geneontology.org

development of compatible prototypes for consensus making and ontology versioning. Further integration and full-fledged documentation is to follow in external D2.3.5v2.

### Acknowledgements

The authors thank Francisco Martín-Recuerda for useful comments.

#### References

- 1. Bada, M., Stevens, R., Goble, C.A., Gil, Y., Ashburner, M., Blake, J.A., Cherry, J. M., Harris, M.A., Lewis, S. "A short study on the success of the Gene Ontology". Journal of Web Semantics 1(2): 235-240 (2004).
- 2. Völkel, M., Enguix, C., Kruk, R.S., Zhdanova, A.V., Stevens, R., Sure, Y. "SemVersion Versioning RDF and Ontologies", KnolwedgeWeb, D2.3.3v1 internal (2005).
- 3. Węcel, K., Zhdanova, A.V. "Information Delivery for the End User of the Semantic Web". In Proceedings of the ESWC 2005 Workshop on End User Aspects of the Semantic Web, 29 May 2005, Heraklion, Greece, CEUR Workshop Proceedings, Vol-137, ISSN 1613-0073, pp. 161-175 (2005).
- 4. Zhdanova, A.V. "Towards Community-Driven Ontology Matching". In Proceedings of the Third International Conference on Knowledge Capture, 2-5 October 2005, Banff, Canada, ACM Press, pp. 221-222 (2005).
- 5. Zhdanova, A.V. "Towards Overcoming Limitations of Community Web Portals: a Classmates' Example". In Proceedings of the ESWC 2005 Workshop on End User Aspects of the Semantic Web, 29 May 2005, Heraklion, Greece, CEUR Workshop Proceedings, Vol-137, pp. 111-124 (2005).
- 6. Zhdanova, A.V., Fensel, D. "Limitations of Community Web Portals: A Classmates' Case Study". In Proceedings of the IEEE/WIC/ACM International Conference on Web Intelligence, 19-22 September 2005, Compiegne, France, IEEE Computer Society Press, pp. 101-104 (2005).
- 7. Zhdanova, A.V., Krummenacher, R., Henke, J., Fensel, D. "Community-Driven Ontology Management: DERI Case Study". In Proceedings of the IEEE/WIC/ACM International Conference on Web Intelligence, 19-22 September 2005, Compiegne, France, IEEE Computer Society Press, pp. 73-79 (2005).
- 8. Zhdanova, A.V., Martín-Recuerda, F. "Consensus Making on the Semantic Web: Personalization and Community Support". In Proceedings of the 6th International Conference on Web Information Systems Engineering, 20-22 November 2005, New York City, New York; Springer-Verlag, LNCS 3806, pp. 599-600 (2005).